Characteristics of studies

Characteristics of included studies

Bissonnette 2010

Methods	Study design: Randomized controlled trial Study grouping: Parallel group Open Label: Cluster RCT:
Participants	Baseline Characteristics Intervention 1 • Age (mean): 49 • sex (%male): 71.4 Intervention 2
	Placebo • <i>Age (mean)</i> : 50,4 • <i>sex (%male)</i> : 51
	 Included criteria: Patients eligible for the retreatmenttrial were male patients, and female patients either of non-childbearing potential or of childbearing potential and using appropriate contraception, who participated in the BACH study (aged 18–75 years and diagnosed with severe CHE of at least 6 months' duration and refractory to standard therapy.) and were responders (rated as 'clear' or 'almost clear'hands according to the PGA at treatment end), and who relapsed within 24 weeks after the end of treatment. Relapsewas defined as a modified Total Lesion Symptom Score (mTLSS)‡75% that of baseline in the BACH trial. The mTLSSis a composite measure of the intensity of seven individualCHE symptoms: erythema, scaling, lichenification/fyperkera-tosis, vesiculation, oedema, fissures and pruritus/pain, scoredfrom 0 to 3. Scores are summed, with a maximum value of21 (most severe disease) and a minimum of 0 (no signs or symptoms). Excluded criteria: Patients were excluded if they had alanine aminotransferaseand./or aspartate aminotransferase > 250% of the upper limit of normal, triglycerides > 200% of the upper limit of normal, a score of 20 or higher on the Centre for EpidemiologicalStudies Depression Scale (CES-D), or a history ofmajor psychiatric disorders. Other
	exclusion criteria weretreatment with other investigational drugs within the previous2 months, or UVB phototherapy, psoralen plus UVA orX-rays, or systemic corticosteroids, retinoids or immunosuppressantswithin the previous 4 weeks, or drugs with thepotential for drug-drug interactions (such as systemic azoles,erythromycin or clarithromycin, simvastatir or St John's wort) within the previous 2 weeks, concomitant retinoids (oral, ortopical to hands) or vitamin supplements containing> 2000 IU vitamin A, known hypersensitivity to retinoids orto any component of the study drug formulation, or knownimmunosuppression.
Interventions	Pretreatment: More males in alitrinoin 10 mg GroupMore with hyperkeratotic HE in the Alitretinoin Groups Intervention Characteristics
Interventions	Intervention 1 Description: This was a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomizedstudy that included 117 patients who achieved 'clear' or'almost clear' hands following initial treatment in the BACH study and relapsed within a 24-week observation period. During the relapse observation period of the BACH study no active treatment of CHE was allowed: only bland emollients were used. Patients who participated in the BACH study had severe disease at baseline according to the Physician's Global Assessment (PGA) persisting for at least 6 months after initial diagnosis and were un responsive to standard therapy including the most potent topical corticosteroids. Dose: 10 mg
	Duration: 12-24 weeks depending of treatment response
	 Intervention 2 Description: This was a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomizedstudy that included 117 patients who achieved 'clear' or'almost clear' hands following initial treatment in the BACH study and relapsed within a 24-week observation period. During the relapse observation period of the BACH study no active treatment of CHE was allowed: only bland emollients were used. Patients who participated in the BACH study had severe disease at baseline according to the Physician's Global Assessment (PGA) persisting for at least 6 months after initial diagnosis and were un responsive to standard therapy including the most potent topical corticosteroids. Dose: 30 mg Duration: 12-24 weeks depending of treatment response
	 Placebo Description: This was a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomizedstudy that included 117 patients who achieved 'clear' or'almost clear' hands following initial treatment in the BACH study and relapsed within a 24-week observation period.During the relapse observation period of the BACH study no active treatment of CHE was allowed: only bland emollients were used. Patients who participated in the BACH study had severe disease at baseline according to the Physician's Global Assessment (PGA) persisting for at least 6 months after initial diagnosis and were un responsive to standard therapy including the most potent topical corticosteroids. Dose: - Duration: 12-24 weeks depending of treatment response
Outcomes	Livskvalitet Outcome type: ContinuousOutcome Reporting: Not reported Scale: DLQI Range: 0-30 Direction: Lower is better Data value: Endpoint
	Hyperkolesterolæmi Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome

	 Reporting: Fully reported Scale: >7.77 mmol/L Unit of measure: mmol/L Direction: Lower is better Data value: Endpoint
	Hypothyroidisme (low thyroxine) Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Reporting: Fully reported Scale: <8.3pmol/L Unit of measure: pmol/L Direction: Higher is better Data value: Endpoint Notes: <8.3 pmol/L (age=<65years) or <8.0 pmol/L (age>65 years)
	Hypothyroidisme (high TSH) Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Reporting: Fully reported Scale: >7.4mU/L Unit of measure: mU/L Direction: Lower is better Data value: Endpoint Notes: >7.4mU/L (age=< 20 years) or 6.3mU/L (age>20 years)
	Hypothyroidisme (TSH low) Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Reporting: Fully reported Scale: 0.6 mU/L Unit of measure: mU/L Direction: Higher is better Data value: Endpoint Notes: 0.6 mU/L (age <=20 years) or 0.3 mU/L (age>20 years)
	Sværhedsgrad af eksem (10 mg) • Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome • Reporting: Fully reported • Scale: clear/allmost clear • Direction: Higher is better • Data value: Endpoint
	Sværhedsgrad af eksemet (30 mg) • Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome • Scale: clear/allmost clear • Direction: Higher is better • Data value: Endpoint
	Hovedpine Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Reporting: Fully reported Direction: Lower is better Data value: Endpoint
	Psykose Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Reporting: Not reported Direction: Lower is better Data value: Endpoint
Identification	Sponsorship source: Autors are employees or consultants for Basilea Pharmaceutical international Country: Switzerland, Germany, France, Canada Setting: The trial was carried out in patients who responded to initial treatment in the large phase III BACH (Benefit of Alitretinoin in Chronic HandEczema) study7 and relapsed within 24 weeks Comments: - Authors name: Robert Bissonnette Institution: Klinik für Dermatologie, Campus Charite Mitte Universitats klinik der Humboldt-Universitat, Berlin, Germany Email: rbissonnette@innovaderm.ca
Notes	Address: Innovaderm Research Inc., 1851 Rue Sherbrooke E., Suite 502, Montreal, QC H2K 4L5, Canada
10103	1

Risk of bias table

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Sequence Generation	Low risk	Judgement Comment: antager at det følger samme princip som BACH studiet
Allocation concealment	Low risk	Judgement Comment: antager at det er det samme som i BACH studiet, som dette studie er en efterfølger til
Blinding of participants and personnel	Low risk	Judgement Comment: the placebo and the active drug were indistinguishable and packaged in the same way
Blinding of outcome assessors	Low risk	Judgement Comment: doble blinded
Incomplete outcome data	Low risk	Judgement Comment: As shown in Figure 1, 24 patients (20 Æ5%) were withdrawnfrom treatment. The primary reason for withdrawal in the placeboarm was insufficient therapeutic response (n = 8, 17%). In the active arms, three patients withdrew due to adverseevents, three patients withdrew consent and two patients in the 30 mg group withdrew due to insufficient therapeuticeffect.

27-Apr-201	6
------------	---

Selective outcome reporting	Low risk	Judgement Comment: no protocol, but the published report include all expected outcomes
Other sources of bias		Judgement Comment: most of the patients had hyperkeratotic hand eczema. Maybe Alitretinoin Works better in hyperkeratotic HE than i nthe other subtypes? Som of the investigators are employed in Basilea Pharmaceutica

Fowler 2014

Methods	Study design: Randomized controlled trial Study grouping: Parallel group Open Label: Cluster RCT:
Participants	Baseline Characteristics Intervention • Age (mean): 47.1 • sex (%male): 65.4 Placebo • Age (mean): 47.5 • sex (%male): 50
	Included criteria: patients with severe chronic hand eczema refractory to very potent topical corticosteroids Excluded criteria: on page 1199håndeksem kontrolleres på lokalbehandling, men udbrud ved stopdesuden ACD, psoriasis, AD med behov for behandling, akut dyshydroisk eksem eller kontaktdermatitis, infektion på hænder, psykisk sgd, høretab eller øresgd i anamnesen Pretreatment: none
Interventions	 Intervention Characteristics Intervention Description: run in consisted of =<16 weeks treatment with very potent TCS fir >= 2 weeksor as indicated by the label. patients were considered to have refractory disease and were randomized to trail treatment if they had sCHE after >= 2 weeks of treatment with very potent TCS or at eny later time during run-in. patients with refractory sCHE were randomized to receive alitretinoin 30 mg once daily for <= 24 weeks. pt with sCHE after 12 weeks of treatment were withdrawn Dose: 30 mg Duration: 12-24 weeks
	 Description: run in consisted of =<16 weeks treatment with very potent TCS fir >= 2 weeksor as indicated by the label. patients were considered to have refractory disease and were randomized to trail treatment if they had sCHE after >= 2weeks of treatment with very potent TCS or at eny later time during run-in. patients with refractory sCHE were randomized to receive placebo once daily for <= 24 weeks. Dose: - Duration: 12-24 weeks
Outcomes	Sværhedsgrad af eksemet • Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Hovedpine • Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome • Direction: Lower is better • Data value: Endpoint
Identification	Sponsorship source: GSK and also Bayer, Galderma, Innocutis, Quinnova Country: USA Setting: 7 centres Comments: - Authors name: Joseph F. Fowler Institution: Division of Dermatology, University of Louiseville Email: fowlerjoe@msn.com Address: Division of Dermatology, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY
Notes	Charlotte Mortz on 21/04/2016 04:01 Outcomes

Risk of bias table

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Sequence Generation	Low risk	
Allocation concealment	Low risk	
Blinding of participants and personnel	Low risk	Judgement Comment: Double blinded. Mht investigators, study personnel, patients, and statisticians were unaware of assigned study treatment. Double blinded. Mht investigators, study personnel, patients, and statisticians were unaware of assigned study treatment.
Blinding of outcome assessors	Low risk	
Incomplete outcome data	Low risk	Judgement Comment: intention-to-treat analyse lavet
Selective outcome reporting	Low risk	
Other sources of bias	High risk	fonded af GSK som producerer alitretinoin

Ruzicka 2004

Methods	Study design: Randomized controlled trial Study grouping: Parallel group Open Label: Cluster RCT:
Participants	Baseline Characteristics Intervention 1 • Age (mean): 48.7 • sex (%male): 70 Intervention 2 • Age (mean): 46.7 • sex (%male): 74 Intervention 3
	 Age (mean): 48.7 sex (%male): 80 Placebo Age (mean): 48.7 sex (%male): 72
	Included criteria: Patients were eligible for enrollment in this study if they wereaged 18 to 70 years and diagnosed as having "moderate" or "severe"CHaD (see "Efficacy Assessments") of at least 3 months'duration and refractory to standard therapy. Refractory statuswas defined as no response, or transient response to at least 4weeks of topical corticosteroids, or intolerance to this regimen.Enrollment was open to patients with all types of chronichand dermatitis, including hyperkeratotic, dyshidrotic (pompholyx), or fingertip dermatitis. Plantar involvement was neitherrequired nor evaluated. Investigators were required to ruleout alternative diagnoses, including infectious dermatoses orpalmar psoriasis. Patients were also required to be either maleor postmenopausal or surgically sterile female. Excluded criteria: Patients were excluded from the study if they had activeatopic dermatitis or unequivocal psoriasis not limited to thehands and requiring medicated treatment at the time of enroll-ment. Patients were also excluded if they had significant ab-normalities in liver function (alanine aminotransferase and/oraspartate aminotransferase 150% of the upper limit of nor-mal); triglyceridemia (250% of the upper limit of normal);cholesterolemia (150% of the upper limit of normal); a his-tory of psychiatric disorders; active bacterial, fungal, or viralinfection of the hands; clinically relevant allergic contact der-matitis of the hands and were unable to avoid exposure to theallergen; or any other skin disease likely to interfere with theconduct of the study. Other exclusion criteria comprised treat-ment with other investigational drugs within the previous 2months; phototherapy (UV-B, psoralen–UV-A, or x-rays) or useof systemic corticosteroids, retinoids, or immunosuppres-sants within the previous 2 weeks; use of systemic ketocona-zole, itraconazole, erythromycin, or clarithromycin within theprevious 2 weeks; or concomitant use of retinoids (oral or topi-cal) or vitamin supplements containing vitamin A (retinol).Known
Interventions	Intervention Characteristics Intervention 1 • Description: Treatment with alitretinoin (BAL4079; Ba-silea Pharmaceutica Ltd) at 10 mgwas given orally once daily after breakfast for 12 weeks, and no dose re-ductions were allowed. All patients were given an emollient (Bep- anthol hand ointment; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel) withinstructions to apply it as frequently as required • Dose: 10 mg/d • Duration: 12 weeks
	Intervention 2 • <i>Description</i> : Alitretinoin 20 mg/d, fugtighedscreme • <i>Dose</i> : 20 mg/d • <i>Duration</i> : 12 weeks
	Intervention 3 • <i>Description</i> : Alitretinoin 40 mg/d, fugtighedscreme • <i>Dose</i> : 40 mg/d • <i>Duration</i> : 12 weeks
	Placebo • Description: placebo kapsel Fugtighedscreme • Dose: 0 • Duration: 12 weeks
Outcomes	Livskvalitet • Outcome type: ContinuousOutcome Hyperkolesterolæmi
	• Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Hypothyroidisme (low thyroxine)
	Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Hypothyroidisme (high TSH) Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome
	Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Hypothyroidisme (TSH low) Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome
	Sværhedsgrad af eksem (10 mg) • Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome
	Sværhedsgrad af eksemet (30 mg) • Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome
	Hovedpine Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome

	Psykose • Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Sværhedsgrad af eksemet • Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome • Reporting: Fully reported • Scale: PGA • Range: 0-4 • Direction: Higher is better • Notes: Reported as clear/ allmost clear
Identification	Sponsorship source: Basilea Pharmaceutica Country: Switzerland Setting: Multicenter ambulant hospital Comments: - Authors name: Dr Thomas Ruzicka Institution: Dep of dermatology Heinrich-Heine University Hospital Email: ruzicka@uni-duesseldorf.de Address: Heinrich-Heine University Hospital Dusseldorf, Moorenstr 5 40221 Dusseldorf, germany
Notes	

Risk of bias table

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Sequence Generation	Low risk	Judgement Comment: Eligible patients were randomized to treatment by center, inblocks of 4 without stratification, by use of computergeneratedrandomization codes provided by the study sponsor(Basilea Pharmaceutica Ltd, Basel, Switzerland)
Allocation concealment	Low risk	Judgement Comment: computer- generated randomization codes provided by the study spon- sor (Basilea Pharmaceutica Ltd, Basel, Switzerland)
Blinding of participants and personnel	Low risk	Judgement Comment: incorporatedinto double-blind coded drug packaging. Placebo andactive drug (as soft gelatin capsules) and packaging were indistinguishable
Blinding of outcome assessors	Low risk	Judgement Comment: incorporatedinto double-blind coded drug packaging. Placebo andactive drug (as soft gelatin capsules) and packaging were indistinguishable
Incomplete outcome data	Low risk	
Selective outcome reporting	High risk	Judgement Comment: Der er flest patienter i studiet med hyperkeratotisk håndeksem. Det er dem, der responderer bedst på behandlingen. Det adresseres ikke i diskussionen at visse eksemtyper har forskelligt respons.DLQI værdier rapporteres ikke.Kun ingen signifikant forskel i outcome
Other sources of bias	High risk	several autors are employed in Basilea Parm.

Ruzicka 2008

Methods	Study design: Randomized controlled trial Study grouping: Parallel group Open Label: Cluster RCT:
Participants	Baseline Characteristics Intervention 1 • Age (mean): 48 • sex (%male): 55
	Intervention 2 • Age (mean): 47 • sex (%male): 57
	Placebo • Age (mean): 48 • sex (%male): 59
	Included criteria: Patients were eligible for enrolment in this study if they wereaged 18–75 years and diagnosed with severe CHE of at least6 months' duration and refractory to standard therapy.Severity was defined according to the Physician GlobalAssessment (PGA; Table 1). The severity of each PGA sign orsymptom was described in the modified Total Lesion Symp-tom Score (mTLSS; Table 2). Together, the PGA and mTLSSwere developed as a verbal description corresponding to CHEseverity grades depicted in a recently published and validatedphotographic guide.9This photographic guide was distributed to each study investigator as an aid to evaluating CHE severity.Refractory status was verified by the following four criteria.(i) Patients had received treatment with topical corticosteroidsfor at least 8 weeks, within 6 months before enrolment, witheither no response or only a transient response. This previous8 weeks' treatment included 4 weeks of therapy with the mostpotent class of topical corticosteroids (e.g. clobetasol propio-nate). (ii) Patients had also received standard skin care, including emollients and barrier protection as appropriate, without significant improvement. (iii) Patients had avoidedirritants and allergens, if identified, without significantimprovement. (iv) Other conditions which may mimic CHEhad been excluded.Enrolment was open to patients with all types of CHE.Investigators were required to rule out alternative diagnosesincluding infectious dermatoses, psoriasis and active contactdermatitis. All patients were patch-tested within 6 monthsbefore randomization. Women of childbearing potential wererequired to use at least two forms of contraception for at least 1 month after finishing treatment; these women werealso required to take monthly pregnancy tests Excluded criteria: Investigators were required to rule out alternative diagnoses including treatment, during infectious dermatoses, psoriasis and active contactdermatitis. All patients were patch-tested within 6 monthsbefore randomization. Women o

	nand eczema 27-Apr-2016
	the study. Patientswere also excluded if they had alanine aminotransferase andóraspartate aminotransferase values > 250% of the upper limit of normal, triglycerides > 200% of the upper limit of normal, cholesterol or low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol values> 200% of the upper limit of normal, haemoglobin belowthe lower limit of normal, a score of 20 or higher on theCentre for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale (CES-D),or a history of major psychiatric disorders. Other exclusioncriteria were treatment with other investigational drugs withinthe previous 2 months, or UVB phototherapy, psoralen andultraviolet A radiation (PUVA) or X-ray radiation, or systemiccorticosteroids, retinoids, or immunosuppressants within theprevious 4 weeks, or drugs with potential for drug-drug inter-actions (such as systemic azoles, erythromycin or clarithromy-cin, simvastatin, or St John's wort) within the previous2 weeks, concomitant retinoids (oral, or topical to hands) orvitamin supplements containing > 2000 IU vitamin A, knownhypersensitivity to retinoids or to any component of the studydrug formulation, or known immunosuppression. Pretreatment: Patients in each group had similar demographic and disease characteristics
Interventions	Intervention Characteristics Intervention 1 • Description: orally once daily after breakfast • Dose: 30 mg alitretinoin. No dose reductions were allowed, but dose inter-ruptions were permitted in case of adverse effects. • Duration: up to 24 weeks (atientswho responded with a PGA assessment of 'clear' or 'almostclear' after 12 weeks stopped treatment at this time, while allothers continued therapy until week 24) Intervention 2
	 Description: orally once daily after breakfast Dose: 10 mg alitretinoin. No dose reductions were allowed, but dose inter-ruptions were permitted in case of adverse effects. Duration: up to 24 weeks (atientswho responded with a PGA assessment of 'clear' or 'almostclear' after 12 weeks stopped treatment at this time, while allothers continued therapy until week 24) Placebo Description: orally once daily after breakfast Dose: placebo Duration: up to 24 weeks (atientswho responded with a PGA assessment of 'clear' or 'almostclear' after 12 weeks at the placebo Duration: up to 24 weeks (atientswho responded with a PGA assessment of 'clear' or 'almostclear' after 12 weeks stopped treatment at this time, while allothers continued therapy until week 24)
Outcomes	Livskvalitet • Outcome type: ContinuousOutcome Hyperkolesterolæmi • Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Hypothyroidisme (low thyroxine) • Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Hypothyroidisme (high TSH) • Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Hypothyroidisme (TSH low) • Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Sværhedsgrad af eksemt (10 mg) • Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Sværhedsgrad af eksemet (30 mg) • Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Hovedpine • Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Hovedpine • Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome Sværhedsgrad af eksemet • Outcome type: DichotomousOutcome • Scale: PGA • Range: 0-4 • Direction: Higher is better • Data value: Endpoint • Notes: Reported as clear/ allmost clear
Identification	Sponsorship source: Basilea Pharmaceutic Country: Germany Setting: Multicenter Comments: - Authors name: Thomas Ruzicka Institution: Department of Dermatology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universita 't, Frauenlobstr. 9–11, 80337 Munich, Germany Email: thomas.ruzicka@med.uni-muenchen.de Address: Department of Dermatology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universita 't, Frauenlobstr. 9–11, 80337 Munich, Germany

Risk of bias table

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Sequence Generation	Low risk	Quote: "Eligible patients were randomized to treatment by centre, in blocks of 5 without stratification, by the use of computer-gen- erated randomization codes provided by the study sponsor (Basilea Pharmaceutica)"
Allocation concealment	Low risk	Quote: "double-blind coded drug packaging. Placebo, active drug and packaging were indistinguishable. Investigators allocated consecutively numbered packages of medication to patients in their order of enrolment."

Blinding of participants and personnel	Low risk	Quote: "incorporated into double-blind coded drug packaging. Placebo, active drug and packaging were indistinguishable. Investigators allocated consecutively numbered packages of medication to patients in their order of enrolment."
Blinding of outcome assessors	Low risk	Judgement Comment: Presumably blinded outcome assessors
Incomplete outcome data	Low risk	Judgement Comment: ITT analysis.Dropouts:Alitretinoin 30 mg: 106/409Alitretinoin 10 mg: 99/418Placebo: 68/205
Selective outcome reporting	Low risk	
Other sources of bias	High risk	Judgement Comment: Supported by Basilea Pharmaceutica. 3 authors are employees at BP.

Footnotes

Characteristics of excluded studies

Bissonnette 2010a	
Reason for exclusion	Poster presentation
Cambazard 2010	
Reason for exclusion	Poster presentation
Diepgen 2010	*
Reason for exclusion	Poster presentation
Dirschka 2011	
Reason for exclusion	Poster presentation
Garnock Jones 2009	
Reason for exclusion	Wrong study design
Ingram 2009	
Reason for exclusion	Already included
Jungersted 2010	
Reason for exclusion	Wrong study design
King 2014	
Reason for exclusion	Wrong study design
Lynde 2010	
Reason for exclusion	Wrong study design
Lynde 2010a	
Reason for exclusion	Wrong study design
Lynde 2012	
Reason for exclusion	Wrong study design
Scheinfeld 2007	
Reason for exclusion	Wrong study design
Schindler 2014	
Reason for exclusion	Wrong study design
Schmith 2015	
Reason for exclusion	Wrong study design
Schmitt Hoffmann 2011	
Reason for exclusion	Wrong comparator
Scott Lang 2011	
Reason for exclusion	Poster presentation

Sculpher 2010 Reason for exclusion Wrong study design Tan 2015 Reason for exclusion Wrong study design Thyssen 2014 Reason for exclusion Wrong patient population Winther 2014 Reason for exclusion Wrong study design

Footnotes

Characteristics of studies awaiting classification

Footnotes

Characteristics of ongoing studies

Footnotes

Summary of findings tables

Additional tables

References to studies

Included studies

Bissonnette 2010

Bissonnette,R.; Worm,M.; Gerlach,B.; Guenther,L.; Cambazard,F.; Ruzicka,T.; Maares,J.; Brown,T. C.. Successful retreatment with alitretinoin in patients with relapsed chronic hand eczema. The British journal of dermatology 2010;162(2):420-6. [DOI:]

Fowler 2014

Fowler, Joseph F.; Graff, Ole; Hamedani, Abbas G.. A phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study evaluating the efficacy and safety of alitretinoin (BAL4079) in the treatment of severe chronic hand eczema refractory to potent topical corticosteroid therapy. Journal of drugs in dermatology : JDD 2014;13(10): 1198-204. [DOI:]

Ruzicka 2004

Ruzicka, Thomas; Larsen, Frederik Gronhoj; Galewicz, Dorota; Horvath, Attila; Coenraads, Peter Jan; Thestrup-Pedersen, Kristian; Ortonne, Jean Paul; Zouboulis, Christos C.; Harsch, Martin; Brown, Thomas C.; Zultak, Maurice. Oral alitretinoin (9-cis-retinoic acid) therapy for chronic hand dermatitis in patients refractory to standard therapy: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial. Archives of Dermatology 2004;140(12):1453-9. [DOI:]

Ruzicka 2008

Ruzicka,T.; Lynde,C. W.; Jemec,G. B. E.; Diepgen,T.; Berth-Jones,J.; Coenraads,P. J.; Kaszuba,A.; Bissonnette,R.; Varjonen,E.; Hollo,P.; Cambazard,F.; Lahfa,M.; Elsner,P.; Nyberg,F.; Svensson,A.; Brown,T. C.; Harsch,M.; Maares,J.. Efficacy and safety of oral alitretinoin (9-cis retinoic acid) in patients with severe chronic hand eczema refractory to topical corticosteroids: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial. The British journal of dermatology 2008;158(4):808-17. [DOI:]

Excluded studies

Bissonnette 2010a

Bissonnette R.; Maares J.; Shear N. Alitretinoin is well tolerated in the treatment of severe chronic hand eczema. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology 2010;62(3):AB51. [DOI:]

Cambazard 2010

Cambazard F.; Sebastian M.; Lynde C.; Maares J.; Brown T.; Ruzicka T.. Increased efficacy after prolonged treatment with alitretinoin 30 mg in chronic hand eczema patients who did not respond to initial course of treatment. Contact dermatitis 2010;63(Web Page):67-68. [DOI:]

Diepgen 2010

Diepgen T.L.; Zimmermann T.. Alitretinoin therapy for patients with severe chronic hand eczema: Comparing non-interventional studies against randomized controlled clinical trial. Contact dermatitis 2010;63(Web Page):70-71. [DOI:]

Dirschka 2011

Dirschka,T.; Reich,K.; Bissonnette,R.; Maares,J.; Brown,T.; Diepgen,T. L.. An open-label study assessing the safety and efficacy of alitretinoin in patients with severe chronic hand eczema unresponsive to topical corticosteroids. Clinical and experimental dermatology 2011;36(2):149-54. [DOI:]

Garnock Jones 2009

Garnock-Jones,Karly; Perry,Caroline M.. Alitretinoin: in severe chronic hand eczema. Drugs 2009;69(12):1625-34. [DOI:]

Ingram 2009

Ingram J.R.; Batchelor J.M.; Williams H.C.. Alitretinoin as a potential advance in the management of severe chronic hand eczema. Archives of Dermatology 2009; 145(3):314-315. [DOI:]

Jungersted 2010

Jungersted, Jakob Mutanu; Hogh, Julie K.; Hellgren, Lars I.; Jemec, Gregor B. E.; Agner, Tove. Changes in skin barrier during treatment with systemic alitertinoin: focus on skin susceptibility and stratum corneum ceramides. Archives of Dermatological Research 2010;302(9):653-6. [DOI:]

King 2014

King T.; McKenna J.; Alexandroff A.B.. Alitretinoin for the treatment of severe chronic hand eczema. Patient Preference and Adherence 2014;8(Web Page):1629-1634. [DOI:]

Lynde 2010

Lynde C.; Haarsch M.; Poulin Y.. Alitretinoin is effective in clearing severe chronic hand eczema. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology 2010;62(3): AB50. [DOI:]

Lynde 2010a

Lynde C.; Guenther L.; Diepgen T.L.; Sasseville D.; Poulin Y.; Gulliver W.; Agner T.; Barber K.; Bissonnette R.; Ho V.; Shear N.H.; Toole J.. Canadian hand dermatitis management guidelines. Journal of cutaneous medicine and surgery 2010;14(6):267-284. [DOI:]

Lynde 2012

Lynde,C.; Cambazard,F.; Ruzicka,T.; Sebastian,M.; Brown,T. C.; Maares,J. Extended treatment with oral alitretinoin for patients with chronic hand eczema not fully responding to initial treatment. Clinical and experimental dermatology 2012;37(7):712-7. [DOI:]

Scheinfeld 2007

Scheinfeld N.; Michaels J., Alitretinoin. Retinoid treatment of chronic hand dermatitis. Drugs of the Future 2007;32(11):943-951. [DOI:]

Schindler 2014

Schindler, Mandana; Drozdenko, Gennadiy; Kuhl, Anja Andrea; Worm, Margitta. Immunomodulation in patients with chronic hand eczema treated with oral alitretinoin. International archives of allergy and immunology 2014;165(1):18-26. [DOI:]

Schmith 2015

Schmith,G. D.; Singh,R.; Gomeni,R.; Graff,O.; Hamedani,A. G.; Troughton,J. S.; Learned,S. M.. Use of Longitudinal Dose-Response Modeling to Support the Efficacy and Tolerability of Alitretinoin in Severe Refractory Chronic Hand Eczema (CHE). CPT: pharmacometrics & systems pharmacology 2015;4(4):255-62. [DOI:]

Schmitt Hoffmann 2011

Schmitt-Hoffmann,A.; Roos,B.; Sauer,J.; Spickermann,J.; Stoeckel,K.; Edwards,D.; van,de Wetering; Coenraads,P. J.; Maares,J.: Pharmacokinetics, efficacy and safety of alitretinoin in moderate or severe chronic hand eczema. Clinical and experimental dermatology 2011;36 Suppl 2(Journal Article):29-34. [DOI:]

Scott Lang 2011

Scott-Lang V.; Norris J.; Hardie R.; Green C.; Gupta G.; Morton C.; Kemmett D., Alitretinoin: the Scottish experience. British Journal of Dermatology 2011;165(Web Page):47-48. [DOI:]

Sculpher 2010

Sculpher, Mark. Single technology appraisal at the UK National Institute for Health and clinical excellence: a source of evidence and analysis for decision making internationally. PharmacoEconomics 2010;28(5):347-9. [DOI:]

Tan 2015

Tan, Jerry; Maari, Catherine; Nigen, Simon; Bolduc, Chantal; Bissonnette, Robert. Open-label exploratory study of acitretin for the treatment of severe chronic hand dermatitis. The Journal of dermatological treatment 2015;26(4):373-5. [DOI:]

Thyssen 2014

Thyssen,J. P.; Vester,L.; Gronhoj Larsen,C.; Smidt,K.; Jakobsen,P.; Hansen,S. H.; Vind-Kezunovic,D.; Gluud,L. L.; Gronhoj Larsen,F.. The single-dose pharmacokinetics of alitretinoin and its metabolites are not significantly altered in patients with cirrhosis. The British journal of dermatology 2014;170(2):408-14. [DOI:]

Winther 2014

Winther, Anna Hillert; Bygum, Anette. Can median nail dystrophy be an adverse effect of alitretinoin treatment? Acta Dermato-Venereologica 2014;94(6):719-20. [DOI:]

Studies awaiting classification

Ongoing studies

Other references

Additional references

Other published versions of this review

Classification pending references

Data and analyses

1 Alitretinoin vs placebo

Outcome or Subgroup	Studies	Participants	Statistical Method	Effect Estimate
1.1 Sværhedsgrad af eksemet, længste follow up (PGA clear/almost clear)	3		Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1.1 10mg	2	781	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.57 [1.19, 2.06]
1.1.2 20mg	1	158	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.49 [0.94, 2.34]
1.1.3 30mg	2	1210	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% Cl)	2.78 [2.23, 3.48]

27-Apr-2016

1.1.4 40mg	1	159	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.93 [1.26, 2.94]
1.2 Hovedpine	3		Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.2.1 10mg	2	781	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.13 [0.36, 3.58]
1.2.2 20mg	1	158	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.11 [0.42, 2.93]
1.2.3 30mg	2	1210	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% Cl)	3.54 [2.51, 4.98]
1.2.4 40mg	1	159	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.89 [1.30, 6.41]
1.3 Hyperkolesterolæmi, Længste follow up	2		Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.3.1 10mg	2	781	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.48 [0.61, 3.59]
1.3.2 20mg	1	158	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% Cl)	2.92 [0.99, 8.68]
1.3.3 30mg	1	614	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	4.76 [2.09, 10.86]
1.3.4 40mg	1	159	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	4.81 [1.72, 13.45]
1.4 Hypothyroidisme (low thyroxine), Længste follow up	2		Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.4.1 10mg	2	781	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.95 [0.04, 20.30]
1.4.2 20mg	1	158	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.92 [0.61, 14.05]
1.4.3 30mg	1	614	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	4.52 [0.24, 83.59]
1.4.4 40mg	1	159	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	3.37 [0.72, 15.73]
1.5 Hyperthyroidisme (high TSH), Længste follow up	1		Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.5.1 10mg	1	623	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.49 [0.12, 1.94]
1.5.2 30mg	1	614	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% Cl)	0.50 [0.13, 1.98]
1.5.4 40mg	0	0	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% Cl)	Not estimable
1.6 Hypothyroidisme (TSH low), Længste follow up	1		Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.6.1 10mg	1	623	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.45 [0.85, 7.08]
1.6.2 30mg	1	614	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	3.51 [1.25, 9.87]
1.6.4 40mg	0	0	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	Not estimable
1.7 Livskvalitet	0	0	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Not estimable
1.8 Psykose	0		Risk Ratio (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	No totals

2 Alitretinoin vs placebo, by disease category

Outcome or Subgroup	Studies	Participants	Statistical Method	Effect Estimate
2.1 10mg: Sværhedsgrad af eksemet, længste follow up (PGA clear/almost clear)	2		Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% Cl)	Subtotals only
2.1.1 Hyperkeratotic ezcema	2	662	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.05 [1.47, 2.86]
2.1.2 Fingertip eczema	2	330	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.51 [0.99, 2.29]
2.1.3 Pompholyx	2	197	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.30 [0.70, 2.39]
2.2 20mg: Sværhedsgrad af eksemet, længste follow up (PGA clear/almost clear)	1		Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
2.2.1 Hyperkeratotic ezcema	1	136	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.72 [1.02, 2.90]
2.2.2 Fingertip eczema	1	53	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	1.18 [0.50, 2.77]
2.2.3 Pompholyx	1	38	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.90 [0.26, 3.08]
2.3 40mg: Sværhedsgrad af eksemet, længste follow up (PGA clear/almost clear)	1		Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
2.3.1 Hyperkeratotic ezcema	1	131	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.61 [1.61, 4.23]
2.3.3 Fingertip eczema	1	63	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.59 [1.27, 5.28]
2.3.4 Pompholyx	1	41	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.03 [0.70, 5.87]
2.9 30mg: Sværhedsgrad af eksemet, længste follow up (PGA clear/almost clear)	1		Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
2.9.1 Hyperkeratotic ezcema	1	519	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	3.94 [2.60, 5.97]
2.9.2 Fingertip eczema	1	297	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.49 [1.59, 3.89]
2.9.3 Pompholyx	1	166	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.04 [1.06, 3.91]
2.10 30-40mg: Sværhedsgrad af eksemet, længste follow up (PGA clear/almost clear)	2		Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% Cl)	Subtotals only
2.10.1 Hyperkeratotic ezcema	2	650	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	3.27 [2.19, 4.89]
2.10.3 Fingertip eczema	2	360	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.52 [1.73, 3.68]
2.10.4 Pompholyx	2	207	Risk Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)	2.03 [1.17, 3.55]

Figures

Figure 1 (Analysis 1.1)

	Interver	ntion	Place	ebo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
1.1.1 10mg								
Ruzicka 2004	31	80	21	78	36.2%	1.44 [0.91, 2.27]	+ - -	
Ruzicka 2008	114	418	34		63.8%	1.64 [1.17, 2.32]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		498		283	100.0%	1.57 [1.19, 2.06]	•	
Fotal events	145		55					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =				P = 0.66	5); I² = 0%			
Test for overall effect	: Z = 3.20 (P = 0.0	01)					
1.1.2 20mg								
Ruzicka 2004	32	80	21	78	100.0%	1.49 [0.94, 2.34]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		80		78	100.0%	1.49 [0.94, 2.34]	●	
Total events	32		21					
Heterogeneity: Not aj	pplicable							
Test for overall effect	Z=1.71 (P = 0.0	9)					
1.1.3 30mg								
Fowler 2014	119	298	44	298	52.7%	2.70 [1.99, 3.67]		
Ruzicka 2008	195	409	34	205	47.3%	2.87 [2.08, 3.97]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		707		503	100.0%	2.78 [2.23, 3.48]	•	
Total events	314		78					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.00; Chi	² = 0.07	', df = 1 (F	P = 0.79	3); I² = 0%			
Test for overall effect	Z = 9.02 (P < 0.0	0001)					
1.1.4 40mg								
Ruzicka 2004	42	81	21	78	100.0%	1.93 [1.26, 2.94]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		81		78	100.0%	1.93 [1.26, 2.94]	₹	
Total events	42		21					
Heterogeneity: Not a	pplicable							
Test for overall effect	Z = 3.05 (P = 0.0	02)					
							+ + +	_
							0.01 0.1 1 10	100
							Favours Placebo Favours In	tervention
Risk of bias legend								

Risk of bias legend (A) Sequence Generation (B) Allocation concealment (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (D) Blinding of outcome assessors

(E) Incomplete outcome data

(F) Selective outcome reporting (G) Other sources of bias

Forest plot of comparison: 1 Alitretinoin vs placebo, outcome: 1.1 Sværhedsgrad af eksemet, længste follow up (PGA clear/almost clear).

Figure 2 (Analysis 1.2)

	Interver	ntion	Place	oo		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV	, Random, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
1.2.1 10mg									
Ruzicka 2004	4	80	7	78	40.6%	0.56 [0.17, 1.83]			
Ruzicka 2008	45	418	12	205	59.4%	1.84 [0.99, 3.40]		H- -	
Subtotal (95% CI)		498		283	100.0%	1.13 [0.36, 3.58]		-	
Total events	49		19						
Heterogeneity: Tau² =				= 0.08	l); l² = 679	6			
Test for overall effect	: Z = 0.21 (P = 0.83	3)						
1.2.2 20mg									
Ruzicka 2004	8	80	7	78	100.0%	1.11 [0.42, 2.93]			
Subtotal (95% CI)		80		78	100.0%	1.11 [0.42, 2.93]		-	
Total events	8		7						
Heterogeneity: Not a	pplicable								
Test for overall effect	: Z = 0.22 (P = 0.83	3)						
1.2.3 30mg									
Fowler 2014	87	298	24	298	65.5%	3.63 [2.38, 5.53]		∎	
Ruzicka 2008	81	409	12	205	34.5%	3.38 [1.89, 6.06]			
Subtotal (95% CI)		707		503	100.0%	3.54 [2.51, 4.98]		•	
Total events	168		36						
Heterogeneity: Tau² =				= 0.85	i); I² = 0%				
Fest for overall effect	: Z = 7.24 (P < 0.00	0001)						
1.2.4 40mg									
Ruzicka 2004	21	81	7		100.0%	2.89 [1.30, 6.41]			
Subtotal (95% CI)		81		78	100.0%	2.89 [1.30, 6.41]		-	
Total events	21		7						
Heterogeneity: Not aj									
Test for overall effect	:Z=2.61 (P = 0.00	09)						
							0.005 0		200
								vention Favours Pla	

- Risk of bias legend (A) Sequence Generation (B) Allocation concealment (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (D) Blinding of outcome assessors

- (E) Incomplete outcome data (F) Selective outcome reporting
- (G) Other sources of bias

Forest plot of comparison: 1 Alitretinoin vs placebo, outcome: 1.2 Hovedpine.

Figure 3 (Analysis 1.3)

	Interven	ntion	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio	Risk of Bias
tudy or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Rando	m, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
.3.1 10mg									
luzicka 2004	10	80	4	78	45.1%	2.44 [0.80, 7.45]			
luzicka 2008	12	418	6	205	54.9%	0.98 [0.37, 2.58]	-	-	
ubtotal (95% CI)		498		283	100.0%	1.48 [0.61, 3.59]	•	•	
otal events	22		10						
leterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.13; Chi ^a	² = 1.46	, df = 1 (F	= 0.23	i); i² = 329	6			
est for overall effect:	Z = 0.86 (I	P = 0.39	3)						
.3.2 20mg									
luzicka 2004	12	80	4	78	100.0%	2.92 [0.99, 8.68]			
ubtotal (95% Cl)		80		78	100.0%	2.92 [0.99, 8.68]		◆	
otal events	12		4						
leterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
est for overall effect:	Z = 1.93 (P = 0.06	5)						
.3.3 30mg									
luzicka 2008	57	409	6	205	100.0%	4.76 [2.09, 10.86]		-	
ubtotal (95% CI)		409		205	100.0%	4.76 [2.09, 10.86]		-	
otal events	57		6						
leterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
est for overall effect:	Z = 3.71 (P = 0.00	002)						
.3.4 40mg									
luzicka 2004	20	81	4	78	100.0%	4.81 [1.72, 13.45]			
ubtotal (95% CI)		81		78	100.0%	4.81 [1.72, 13.45]		-	
otal events	20		4						
leterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
est for overall effect:	Z = 3.00 (i	P = 0.00)3)						
							0.002 0.1		-

Risk of bias legend

(A) Sequence Generation

(B) Allocation concealment

(C) Blinding of participants and personnel (D) Blinding of outcome assessors (E) Incomplete outcome data

(F) Selective outcome reporting

(G) Other sources of bias

Forest plot of comparison: 1 Alitretinoin vs placebo, outcome: 1.3 Hyperkolesterolæmi, Længste follow up.

Figure 4 (Analysis 1.4)

	Intervention		Place	Placebo Ris		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95%	CI	ABCDEFG
1.4.1 10mg									
Ruzicka 2004	0	80	2	78	49.2%	0.20 [0.01, 4.00]			
Ruzicka 2008	4	418	0	205	50.8%	4.42 [0.24, 81.79]			
Subtotal (95% CI)		498		283	100.0%	0.95 [0.04, 20.30]			
Total events	4		2			,			
Heterogeneity: Tau ²	•			' = 0.15	i); if = 539	6			
Test for overall effect	. Z = 0.03 (P = 0.98	8)						
1.4.2 20mg									
Ruzicka 2004	6	80	2		100.0%	2.92 [0.61, 14.05]	+	_	
Subtotal (95% CI)		80		78	100.0%	2.92 [0.61, 14.05]		-	
Total events	6		2						
Heterogeneity: Not a									
Test for overall effect	t: Z = 1.34 (P = 0.18	B)						
1.4.3 30mg									
Ruzicka 2008	4	409	0		100.0%	4.52 [0.24, 83.59]	-+		
Subtotal (95% CI)		409		205	100.0%	4.52 [0.24, 83.59]			
Total events	4		0						
Heterogeneity: Not a									
Test for overall effect	: Z = 1.01 (P = 0.31	1)						
1.4.4 40mg									
Ruzicka 2004	7	81	2	78	100.0%	3.37 [0.72, 15.73]	-∎-∔	_	
Subtotal (95% CI)		81		78	100.0%	3.37 [0.72, 15.73]	-	-	
Total events	7		2						
Heterogeneity: Not a									
Test for overall effect	t: Z = 1.55 (P = 0.13	2)						
							0.002 0.1 1 1	0 500	
							Favours Intervention Favou	rs Placebo	

<u>Risk of bias legend</u> (A) Sequence Generation

(B) Allocation concealment

(C) Blinding of participants and personnel

(D) Blinding of outcome assessors

(E) Incomplete outcome data (F) Selective outcome reporting (G) Other sources of bias

Forest plot of comparison: 1 Alitretinoin vs placebo, outcome: 1.4 Hypothyroidisme (low thyroxine), Længste follow up.

Figure 5 (Analysis 1.5)

	Intervention		Intervention Placebo			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95%	CI ABCDEFG
1.5.1 10mg							_	
Ruzicka 2008 Subtotal (95% CI)	4	418 418	4	205 205	100.0% 100.0 %	0.49 [0.12, 1.94] 0.49 [0.12, 1.94]		•••••
Total events	4		4					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	oplicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z=1.01 (P = 0.3	1)					
1.5.2 30mg							_	
Ruzicka 2008	4	409	4	205	100.0%	0.50 [0.13, 1.98]		•••••
Subtotal (95% CI)		409		205	100.0%	0.50 [0.13, 1.98]		
Total events	4		4					
Heterogeneity: Not ap			-					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.98 ()	P = 0.3	3)					
1.5.4 40mg								
Subtotal (95% CI)		0		0		Not estimable		
Total events	0		0					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	oplicable							
Test for overall effect:	Not applic	able						
							0.005 0.1 1	10 200
							Favours Intervention Favou	urs Placebo
<u>Risk of bias legend</u>								
(A) Sequence Genera								
(B) Allocation concea								
(C) Directions of a solicit.								

(C) Blinding of participants and personnel

(D) Blinding of outcome assessors

(E) Incomplete outcome data (F) Selective outcome reporting (G) Other sources of bias

Forest plot of comparison: 1 Alitretinoin vs placebo, outcome: 1.5 Hyperthyroidisme (high TSH), Længste follow up.

Figure 6 (Analysis 1.6)

	Intervention		Place	bo	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95%	CI ABCDEFG	
1.6.1 10mg									
Ruzicka 2008 Subtotal (95% CI)	20	418 418	4	205 205	100.0% 100.0 %	2.45 [0.85, 7.08] 2.45 [0.85, 7.08]			
Total events	20		4						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.66 (P = 0.10))						
1.6.2 30mg									
Ruzicka 2008	28	409	4	205	100.0%	3.51 [1.25, 9.87]			
Subtotal (95% CI)	20	409		205	100.0%	3.51 [1.25, 9.87]			
Total events	28		4						
Heterogeneity: Not ap	oplicable								
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.38 (P = 0.02)			2)						
1.6.4 40mg						Net estimable			
Subtotal (95% CI)		0		0		Not estimable			
Total events	0		0						
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:		oblo							
restion overall ellect.	Not applic	aule							
							Favours Intervention Favou	irs Placebo	

Risk of bias legend

(A) Sequence Generation

(B) Allocation concealment

(C) Blinding of participants and personnel (D) Blinding of outcome assessors (E) Incomplete outcome data

(F) Selective outcome reporting

(G) Other sources of bias

Forest plot of comparison: 1 Alitretinoin vs placebo, outcome: 1.6 Hypothyroidisme (TSH low), Længste follow up.

Figure 7 (Analysis 2.1)

	Interver	ntion	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Rando	m, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
2.1.1 Hyperkeratotic	ezcema								
Ruzicka 2004	27	66	15	64	40.1%	1.75 [1.03, 2.96]		⊢∎ -	
Ruzicka 2008	102	362	21	170	59.9%	2.28 [1.48, 3.52]		-	
Subtotal (95% CI)		428		234	100.0%	2.05 [1.47, 2.86]		•	
Total events	129		36						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² :				P = 0.44	4); I² = 0%				
Test for overall effect	: Z = 4.20 (P < 0.0	001)						
2.1.2 Fingertip eczer	na								
Ruzicka 2004	8	27	6	22	22.0%	1.09 [0.44, 2.66]		—	
Ruzicka 2008	53	180	18	101	78.0%	1.65 [1.03, 2.66]		-	
Subtotal (95% CI)		207		123	100.0%	1.51 [0.99, 2.29]		◆	
Total events	61		24						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² :			• •	P = 0.42	2); I² = 0%				
Test for overall effect	: Z = 1.91 (P = 0.0	6)						
2.1.3 Pompholyx									
Ruzicka 2004	3	13	4	18	21.6%	1.04 [0.28, 3.87]		-	
Ruzicka 2008	25	111	9	55	78.4%	1.38 [0.69, 2.74]	-	-	
Subtotal (95% CI)		124		73	100.0%	1.30 [0.70, 2.39]	•	•	
Total events	28		13						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² :	= 0.00; Chi	² = 0.14	, df = 1 (F	e = 0.71); I ² = 0%				
Test for overall effect	: Z = 0.83 (P = 0.4	1)						
									-
							0.01 0.1	1 10 100	

<u>Risk of bias legend</u>

(A) Sequence Generation (B) Allocation concealment

(C) Blinding of participants and personnel

(D) Blinding of outcome assessors

(E) Incomplete outcome data

(F) Selective outcome reporting

(G) Other sources of bias

Forest plot of comparison: 2 Alitretinoin vs placebo, by disease category, outcome: 2.1 10mg: Sværhedsgrad af eksemet, længste follow up (PGA clear/almost clear).

Figure 8 (Analysis 2.2)

	Intonio	tion	Diago	ha		Diele Datia	Diak Datia	Diak of Diag
~ . ~ .	Interver		Place			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	lotal	weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
2.2.1 Hyperkeratotic	ezcema							
Ruzicka 2004	29	72	15	64		1.72 [1.02, 2.90]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		72		64	100.0%	1.72 [1.02, 2.90]	\bullet	
Total events	29		15					
Heterogeneity: Not a	pplicable							
Test for overall effect	: Z = 2.02 (P = 0.0	4)					
2.2.2 Fingertip eczer	na							
Ruzicka 2004	10	31	6	22	100.0%	1.18 [0.50, 2.77]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		31		22	100.0%	1.18 [0.50, 2.77]		
Total events	10		6					
Heterogeneity: Not a	pplicable							
Test for overall effect	:Z=0.39(P = 0.7	0)					
2.2.3 Pompholyx								
Ruzicka 2004	4	20	4	18	100.0%	0.90 [0.26, 3.08]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		20		18	100.0%	0.90 [0.26, 3.08]		
Total events	4		4					
Heterogeneity: Not a	pplicable							
Test for overall effect		P = 0.8	7)					
							0.01 0.1 1 10	100
							Favours Placebo Favours Interv	enuon

<u>Risk of bias legend</u>

(A) Sequence Generation (B) Allocation concealment

(C) Blinding of participants and personnel

(D) Blinding of outcome assessors

(E) Incomplete outcome data

(F) Selective outcome reporting

(G) Other sources of bias

Forest plot of comparison: 2 Alitretinoin vs placebo, by disease category, outcome: 2.2 20mg: Sværhedsgrad af eksemet, længste follow up (PGA clear/almost clear).

Figure 9 (Analysis 2.9)

. .	1 - C	·						
Intervention		Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
2.9.1 Hyperkeratoti	c ezcema							
Ruzicka 2008	170	349	21	170	100.0%	3.94 [2.60, 5.97]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		349		170	100.0%	3.94 [2.60, 5.97]		
Total events	170		21					
Heterogeneity: Not a	applicable							
Test for overall effec	t: Z = 6.49 (P < 0.0	0001)					
2.9.2 Fingertip ecze	ma							
Ruzicka 2008	87	196	18	101	100.0%	2.49 [1.59, 3.89]	• <mark></mark> -	
Subtotal (95% CI)		196		101	100.0%	2.49 [1.59, 3.89]		
Total events	87		18					
Heterogeneity: Not a	applicable							
Test for overall effec	t: Z = 4.00 (P < 0.0	001)					
2.9.3 Pompholyx								
Ruzicka 2008	37	111	9	55	100.0%	2.04 [1.06, 3.91]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		111		55	100.0%	2.04 [1.06, 3.91]	◆	
Total events	37		9					
Heterogeneity: Not a	applicable							
Test for overall effec	t: Z = 2.14 (P = 0.0	3)					
								- 1
							Favours Placebo Favours Interventio	

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Sequence Generation
- (B) Allocation concealment
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessors (E) Incomplete outcome data
- (F) Selective outcome reporting
- (G) Other sources of bias

Forest plot of comparison: 2 Alitretinoin vs placebo, by disease category, outcome: 2.9 30mg: Sværhedsgrad af eksemet, længste follow up (PGA clear/almost clear).

Figure 10 (Analysis 2.10)

	Interver	ntion	Placel	00		Risk Ratio	Ris	<pre>c Ratio</pre>	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Rand	om, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
2.10.1 Hyperkeratoti	c ezcema								
Ruzicka 2004	41	67	15	64	45.4%	2.61 [1.61, 4.23]			
Ruzicka 2008	170	349	21	170	54.6%	3.94 [2.60, 5.97]		-	
Subtotal (95% CI)		416		234	100.0 %	3.27 [2.19, 4.89]		•	
Total events	211		36						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.03; Chi	² = 1.61	, df = 1 (P	= 0.20	l); l² = 389	Ж			
Test for overall effect	Z= 5.77 (P < 0.0	0001)						
2.10.3 Fingertip ecze	ema								
Ruzicka 2004	29	41	6	22	28.4%	2.59 [1.27, 5.28]			
Ruzicka 2008	87	196	18	101	71.6%	2.49 [1.59, 3.89]			
Subtotal (95% CI)		237		123	100.0 %	2.52 [1.73, 3.68]		•	
Total events	116		24						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.00; Chi	² = 0.01	, df = 1 (P	= 0.92	?); I ^z = 0%				
Test for overall effect	Z= 4.79 (P < 0.0	0001)						
2.10.4 Pompholyx									
Ruzicka 2004	7	19	4	22	27.3%	2.03 [0.70, 5.87]		+	
Ruzicka 2008	37	111	9	55	72.7%	2.04 [1.06, 3.91]		┝╋╋╌	
Subtotal (95% CI)		130		77	100.0 %	2.03 [1.17, 3.55]		•	
Total events	44		13						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.00; Chi	² = 0.00	, df = 1 (P	= 0.99	i); i² = 0%				
Test for overall effect	Z = 2.50 (P = 0.0	1)						
								1 10	100
							Favours Placeb		
								. areare inter	

<u>Risk of bias legend</u>

- (A) Sequence Generation (B) Allocation concealment
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (D) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (E) Incomplete outcome data
- (F) Selective outcome reporting (G) Other sources of bias

Forest plot of comparison: 2 Alitretinoin vs placebo, by disease category, outcome: 2.10 30-40mg: Sværhedsgrad af eksemet, længste follow up (PGA clear/almost clear).