

Overblik over AMSTAR vurderinger af retningslinjer for behandling af børn og unge med angst												
Reference	1. Was an 'a priori' design provided?	2. Was there duplicate study selection and data extraction?	3. Was a comprehensive literature search performed?	4. Was the status of publication (i.e. grey literature) used as an inclusion criterion?	5. Was a list of studies (included and excluded) provided?	6. Were the characteristics of the included studies provided?	7. Was the scientific quality of the included studies assessed and documented?	8. Was the scientific quality of the included studies used appropriately in formulating conclusions?	9. Were the methods used to combine the findings of studies appropriate?	10. Was the likelihood of publication bias assessed?	11. Was the conflict of interest included?	Used in PICO
James et al., 2015	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1, 2, 3, 4
Erford et al., 2015	Can't answer	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	1, 2
Kallapiran et al., 2015	Can't answer	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1
Reynolds et al., 2013	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	1, 5, 6
Aydin 2014	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	7
Brendel et al 2013	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	7
Thulin et al 2014	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	7
Wipfli et al., 2008	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Not applicable	Can't answer	Yes	No	8
Parslow et al., 2008	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Not applicable	Can't answer	No	Yes	8