


1. PICO 1 - Aflastning vs Stay active (vanlig aktivitet)

1.1 Smerteniveau 0-12 uger (Kritisk outcome)




Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable


Risk of bias legend

- (A) Allocation concealment
- (B) Sequence Generation
- (C) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (D) Other sources of bias
- (E) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (F) Selective outcome reporting
- (G) Incomplete outcome data


1.2 Funktionsniveau 0-12 uger (Kritisk outcome)



1.3 Smerteniveau 6-18 måneder (Vigtigt outcome)



1.4 Funktionsniveau 6-18 måneder (Vigtigt outcome)




Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

Risk of bias legend


- (A) Allocation concealment
- (B) Sequence Generation
- (C) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (D) Other sources of bias
- (E) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (F) Selective outcome reporting
- (G) Incomplete outcome data

1 Intervention vs Kontrol

1.1 Smerteniveau 0-12 uger (Kritisk outcome)



1.2 Fear avoidance 0-12 uger (Kritisk outcome)



Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Sequence Generation
- (B) Allocation concealment
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (D) Selective outcome reporting
- (E) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (F) Incomplete outcome data
- (G) Other sources of bias

1.4 Funktionsevne 6-18 måneder (Vigtigt outcome)

Study or Subgroup	Intervention		Control		Total	Weight	Std. Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Risk of Bias	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD					
1.4.2 Funktionsevne 6-18 måneder (Disability) 12 måneder									
Damush 2003	9.1	6.8	63	11.3	8.1	76	15.0%	-0.29 [-0.63, 0.05]	A B C D E F G
Hay 2005	5.2	5.7	164	4.4	5.5	165	31.5%	0.14 [-0.07, 0.36]	A B C D E F G
Jellema 2005	1	5.4	132	1	5	154	28.1%	0.00 [-0.23, 0.23]	A B C D E F G
Karjalainen 2003	19	10.4	56	19	10.4	56	12.6%	0.00 [-0.37, 0.37]	A B C D E F G
Pengel 2007	2.9	4.4	59	3.2	5.6	56	12.9%	-0.06 [-0.43, 0.31]	A B C D E F G
Subtotal (95% CI)			474			507	100.0%	-0.01 [-0.14, 0.13]	



Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$; $\text{Chi}^2 = 4.64$, $\text{df} = 4$ ($P = 0.33$); $I^2 = 14\%$
 Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.09$ ($P = 0.93$)

Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Sequence Generation
- (B) Allocation concealment
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (D) Selective outcome reporting
- (E) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (F) Incomplete outcome data
- (G) Other sources of bias


1.8 Sygefravær, proportion i arbejde 6-18 måneder (Vigtigt outcome)

Study or Subgroup	Experimental		Control		Total Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI	Risk of Bias									
	Events	Total	Events	Total			A	B	C	D	E	F	G			
1.8.1 Sygefravær, proportion i arbejde 6-18 måneder (Sick leave, proportion)																
Hagen 2003	75	237	96	220	41.7%	0.73 [0.57, 0.92]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?
Indahl 1995	139	463	307	512	46.9%	0.50 [0.43, 0.59]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Jellema 2005	8	107	9	128	11.5%	1.06 [0.42, 2.66]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Subtotal (95% CI)		807		860	100.0%	0.64 [0.45, 0.91]										

Total events 222 412

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.06; Chi² = 8.29, df = 2 (P = 0.02); I² = 76%

Test for overall effect: Z = 2.51 (P = 0.01)




Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Sequence Generation
- (B) Allocation concealment
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (D) Selective outcome reporting
- (E) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (F) Incomplete outcome data
- (G) Other sources of bias

1.4 Livskvalitet 6-18 måneder (Vigtigt outcome)



Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.76, df = 2 (P = 0.68); I² = 0%
 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.43 (P = 0.67)


Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

Risk of bias legend
 (A) Other sources of bias
 (B) Allocation concealment
 (C) Blinding of participants and personnel
 (D) Selective outcome reporting
 (E) Blinding of outcome assessors
 (F) Sequence Generation
 (G) Incomplete outcome data

1.5 Smerteniveau 6-18 måneder (Vigtigt outcome)

Study or Subgroup	Intervention		Control		Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Risk of Bias	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD					
1.5.1 Smerte 6-18 måneder									
Ash 2008	29	24	28	28	62	12.0%	1.00 [-7.98, 9.98]	A: + B: + C: ? D: ? E: + F: + G: +	
Gilbert 2004	-44.76	22.8	337	-40.53	24.6	311	34.1%	-4.23 [-7.89, -0.57]	A: + B: + C: ? D: + E: + F: + G: +
Kendrick	11.4	10.8	195	10.2	11.1	199	44.7%	1.20 [-0.96, 3.36]	A: + B: + C: ? D: - E: + F: + G: +
Kerry	-63	31.75	50	-63	22.85	58	9.2%	0.00 [-10.58, 10.58]	A: + B: + C: ? D: - E: + F: + G: +
Subtotal (95% CI)					651	100.0%	-0.78 [-4.32, 2.75]		

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 6.05; Chi² = 6.33, df = 3 (P = 0.10); I² = 53%
 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.44 (P = 0.66)




Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Other sources of bias
- (B) Allocation concealment
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (D) Selective outcome reporting
- (E) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (F) Sequence Generation
- (G) Incomplete outcome data

1.6 Funktionsevne 6-18 måneder (Vigtigt outcome)

Study or Subgroup	Intervention		Control		Total	Weight	Std. Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Risk of Bias															
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD				A	B	C	D	E	F	G									
1.6.1 Funktionsevne 6-18 måneder																							
Ash 2008	4.9	5.3	69	4.2	5.3	62	20.7%	0.13	[-0.21, 0.47]	+	+	?	?	?	+	+							
Gilbert 2004	32.67	17.4	337	36.98	19.8	311	31.4%	-0.23	[-0.39, -0.08]	+	+	?	?	?	+	+							
Kendrick	4.4	4.9	195	3.6	4.5	199	29.0%	0.17	[-0.03, 0.37]	+	+	?	?	?	+	+							
Kerry	4.5	5.7	50	4.3	5.3	58	19.0%	0.04	[-0.34, 0.41]	+	+	?	?	?	+	+							
Subtotal (95% CI)			651			630	100.0%	0.01	[-0.23, 0.25]														



Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.04$; $\text{Chi}^2 = 11.31$, $\text{df} = 3$ ($P = 0.01$); $I^2 = 73\%$
 Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.09$ ($P = 0.93$)

Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Other sources of bias
- (B) Allocation concealment
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (D) Selective outcome reporting
- (E) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (F) Sequence Generation
- (G) Incomplete outcome data

1 Intervention vs Kontrol

1.1 Funktionsevne - 0-12 uger (Disability) - (Kritisk outcome)

Study or Subgroup	Intervention		Kontrol		Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Risk of Bias	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD					
1.1.2 Funktionsevne - 0-12 uger (Disability) Final score									
CruserdA 2012	4.44	5.92	30	7.31	6.3	30	22.7%	-2.87 [-5.96, 0.22]	A: ? B: + C: + D: + E: + F: - G: +
Hsieh 2002	3.73	3.76	48	5.8	5.12	49	37.1%	-2.07 [-3.86, -0.28]	A: - B: ? C: ? D: ? E: - F: ? G: ?
Hurley 2004	5.76	5.01	66	5.48	4.45	80	40.2%	0.28 [-1.27, 1.83]	A: - B: + C: + D: + E: + F: ? G: ?
Subtotal (95% CI)			144			159	100.0%	-1.31 [-3.24, 0.62]	

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 1.78; Chi² = 5.39, df = 2 (P = 0.07); I² = 63%
 Test for overall effect: Z = 1.33 (P = 0.18)



Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Incomplete outcome data
- (B) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (C) Sequence Generation
- (D) Allocation concealment
- (E) Other sources of bias
- (F) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (G) Selective outcome reporting

1.2 Smerteniveau - 0-12 uger (Pain) - (Kritisk Outcome)

Study or Subgroup	Intervention		Control		Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Risk of Bias								
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD				A	B	C	D	E	F	G		
1.2.2 Smerteniveau - 0-12 uger (Pain) Final score																
CruserdA 2012	19.6	14.7	30	37.3	23.9	30	26.0%	-17.70	[-27.74, -7.66]	?	+	+	+	+	-	+
Hsieh 2002	20.4	13.5	48	27.8	18.2	49	41.7%	-7.40	[-13.77, -1.03]	-	?	+	+	+	-	?
Hurley 2004	25.15	28.9	80	30.68	24.9	80	32.2%	-5.53	[-13.89, 2.83]	-	+	+	+	+	-	?
Subtotal (95% CI)			158			159	100.0%	-9.48	[-15.93, -3.03]							

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 15.39; Chi² = 3.79, df = 2 (P = 0.15); I² = 47%
 Test for overall effect: Z = 2.88 (P = 0.004)

1.2.3 Smerteniveau 0-12 uger (Pain) Change

Subtotal (95% CI) 0 0 Not estimable

Heterogeneity: Not applicable

Test for overall effect: Not applicable



Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable


Risk of bias legend

- (A) Incomplete outcome data
- (B) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (C) Sequence Generation
- (D) Allocation concealment
- (E) Other sources of bias
- (F) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (G) Selective outcome reporting

1.3 Funktionsevne - 6-18 måneder (Disability) - (Vigtigt outcome)

Study or Subgroup	Intervention		Control		Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Risk of Bias							
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD				A	B	C	D	E	F	G	
1.3.2 Patientrapporteret funktionsevne 6-18 måneder (Disability) Final score															
Hsieh 2002	3.56	3.46	48	5.06	4.78	47	-1.50 [-3.18, 0.18]	?	+	+	?	-	-	-	?
Hurley 2004	3.91	5.01	80	4.14	4.45	80	-0.23 [-1.70, 1.24]	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	?
Subtotal (95% CI)			128			127	-0.80 [-2.03, 0.44]								

Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.16$; $\text{Chi}^2 = 1.24$, $\text{df} = 1$ ($P = 0.26$); $I^2 = 20\%$
 Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.26$ ($P = 0.21$)




Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Incomplete outcome data
- (B) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (C) Sequence Generation
- (D) Allocation concealment
- (E) Other sources of bias
- (F) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (G) Selective outcome reporting

1.4 Smerteniveau 6-18 máneder (Pain) - (Vigtigt outcome)




Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Incomplete outcome data
- (B) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (C) Sequence Generation
- (D) Allocation concealment
- (E) Other sources of bias
- (F) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (G) Selective outcome reporting

1 Intervention vs Kontrol

1.1 Smerteniveau 6-18 måneder (Kritisk outcome)



Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$; $\chi^2 = 1.53$, $df = 2$ ($P = 0.47$); $I^2 = 0\%$
 Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.89$ ($P = 0.37$)

Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable


Risk of bias legend

- (A) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (B) Selective outcome reporting
- (C) Incomplete outcome data
- (D) Sequence Generation
- (E) Other sources of bias
- (F) Allocation concealment
- (G) Blinding of participants and personnel

1.3 Funktionsevne 0-12 uger (Vigtigt outcome)

Study or Subgroup	Intervention		Control		Total	Weight	Std. Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Risk of Bias	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD					
1.3.2 Funktionsevne 0-12 uger									
Cherkin 1998	17.84	9.59	129	21.32	8.97	65	22.7%	-0.37 [-0.67, -0.07]	A: + B: + C: + D: + E: + F: + G: +
Chok 1999	16.68	26.67	30	39.62	16.68	24	14.6%	-0.99 [-1.56, -0.42]	A: + B: + C: + D: + E: + F: + G: +
Machado 2010	4.6	0.7	69	4.5	0.7	69	21.6%	0.14 [-0.19, 0.48]	A: ? B: + C: + D: + E: + F: + G: +
Pengel 2007	4	4.8	58	4.6	4.4	55	20.4%	-0.13 [-0.50, 0.24]	A: + B: + C: + D: + E: + F: + G: +
Seferlis 1998	20	18	60	20	18	60	20.8%	0.00 [-0.36, 0.36]	A: + B: + C: + D: + E: + F: + G: +
Subtotal (95% CI)			346			273	100.0%	-0.22 [-0.53, 0.09]	A: + B: + C: + D: + E: + F: + G: +

Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.09$; $\text{Chi}^2 = 13.90$, $\text{df} = 4$ ($P = 0.008$); $I^2 = 71\%$
 Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.42$ ($P = 0.16$)




Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (B) Selective outcome reporting
- (C) Incomplete outcome data
- (D) Sequence Generation
- (E) Other sources of bias
- (F) Allocation concealment
- (G) Blinding of participants and personnel

1.4 Smerteniveau 0-12 uger (Vigtigt outcome)

Study or Subgroup	Intervention		Control		Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Risk of Bias
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD				
1.4.2 Smerteniveau 0-12 uger (Pain)								
Chok 1999	10.2	29.9	30	22.8	18.5	24	-12.60 [-25.61, 0.41]	A: -, B: +, C: +, D: +, E: +, F: +, G: ?
Faas 1995	20.18	24.78	156	21	27.14	162	-0.82 [-6.53, 4.89]	A: -, B: +, C: +, D: +, E: +, F: +, G: ?
Machado 2010	20	16.7	70	23	24.7	68	-3.00 [-10.05, 4.05]	A: ?, B: +, C: +, D: +, E: +, F: +, G: +
Pengel 2007	22	22	58	28	22	55	-6.00 [-14.12, 2.12]	A: +, B: +, C: +, D: +, E: +, F: +, G: +
Seferlis 1998	46	17	60	46	17	60	0.00 [-6.08, 6.08]	A: -, B: +, C: +, D: +, E: +, F: +, G: ?
Subtotal (95% CI)			374			369	-2.55 [-5.74, 0.65]	



Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.10; Chi² = 4.03, df = 4 (P = 0.40); I² = 1%

Test for overall effect: Z = 1.56 (P = 0.12)


Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (B) Selective outcome reporting
- (C) Incomplete outcome data
- (D) Sequence Generation
- (E) Other sources of bias
- (F) Allocation concealment
- (G) Blinding of participants and personnel

1.5 Frafald pga. bivirkninger (Vigtigt outcome)

Study or Subgroup	Intervention		Control		Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI	Risk of Bias						
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD				A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1.5.1 Frafald pga. bivirkninger (EOT)														
Pengel 2007	0	0	0	0	63	63	Not estimable	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Subtotal (95% CI)	63	63	63	63	63	63	Not estimable							



Heterogeneity: Not applicable
 Test for overall effect: Not applicable
 Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable
 Risk of bias legend
 (A) Blinding of outcome assessors
 (B) Selective outcome reporting
 (C) Incomplete outcome data
 (D) Sequence Generation
 (E) Other sources of bias
 (F) Allocation concealment
 (G) Blinding of participants and personnel

1.6 Sygefravær, antal dage - 6-18 måneder (Vigtigt outcome)

Study or Subgroup	Intervention		Control		Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Risk of Bias
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD				
1.6.1 Sygefravær, antal dage - 6-18 måneder (Sickleave, days)								
Faas 1995	28	43	29	61	122	83.7%	-1.00 [-14.24, 12.24]	A: +, B: +, C: +, D: +, E: ?, F: +, G: -
Seferlis 1998	49	76	42	52	63	16.3%	-3.00 [-33.00, 27.00]	A: +, B: +, C: +, D: -, E: ?, F: +, G: -
Subtotal (95% CI)			164		163	100.0%	-1.33 [-13.44, 10.79]	

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.01, df = 1 (P = 0.90); I² = 0%
 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.21 (P = 0.83)




Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (B) Selective outcome reporting
- (C) Incomplete outcome data
- (D) Sequence Generation
- (E) Other sources of bias
- (F) Allocation concealment
- (G) Blinding of participants and personnel

1 Akupunktur + vanlig behandling sammenlignet med vanlig behandling

1.1 Smerteniveau 0-12 uger (Kritisk outcome)



Risk of bias legend

- (A) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (B) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (C) Incomplete outcome data
- (D) Selective outcome reporting
- (E) Allocation concealment
- (F) Sequence Generation
- (G) Other sources of bias

1.2 Funktionsniveau 0-12 uger (Kritisk outcome)

Study or Subgroup	Akupunktur+ vanlig beh.		Vanlig behandling		Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Risk of Bias															
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD					A	B	C	D	E	F	G									
1.2.1 Funktionsniveau 0-12 uger (EoT)																								
Kennedy 2008	6	4.69041576	7	6.09754049	23	84.1%	-1.00 [-4.19, 2.19]	-1.00	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+								
Liu 2010	4.44	12.85	6.45	11.95	24	15.9%	-2.01 [-9.35, 5.33]	-2.01	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+								
Subtotal (95% CI)					47	100.0%	-1.16 [-4.08, 1.76]	-1.16	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+								

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.06, df = 1 (P = 0.80); I² = 0%
 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.78 (P = 0.44)

Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

Risk of bias legend

- (A) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (B) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (C) Incomplete outcome data
- (D) Selective outcome reporting
- (E) Allocation concealment
- (F) Sequence Generation
- (G) Other sources of bias

1.6 Sygefravær - antal sygedage 6-18 måneder (Vigtigt outcome)


Study or Subgroup	Akupunktur + vanlig beh.		Vanlig behandling		Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI	Risk of Bias
	Mean	SD	Total	Total		
Kennedy 2008	13.9	25.41790707	23	10.9	3.00 [-10.13, 16.13]	A B C D E F G
Total (95% CI)			23	22	3.00 [-10.13, 16.13]	

Heterogeneity: Not applicable

Test for overall effect: Z = 0.45 (P = 0.65)


Risk of bias legend

- (A) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (B) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (C) Incomplete outcome data
- (D) Selective outcome reporting
- (E) Allocation concealment
- (F) Sequence Generation
- (G) Other sources of bias



1 Paracetamol i tillæg til vanlig behandling vs vanlig behandling


1.1 Smerteniveau 0-12 uger (Kritisk outcome)



Risk of bias legend

- (A) Sequence Generation
- (B) Allocation concealment
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (E) Incomplete outcome data
- (F) Selective outcome reporting
- (G) Other sources of bias


1.2 Funktionsniveau 0-12 uger (Kritisk outcome)



Risk of bias legend

- (A) Sequence Generation
- (B) Allocation concealment
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (E) Incomplete outcome data
- (F) Selective outcome reporting
- (G) Other sources of bias


1.3 Alvorlige Bivirkninger 0-12 uger (Kritisk outcome)



Risk of bias legend

- (A) Sequence Generation
- (B) Allocation concealment
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (E) Incomplete outcome data
- (F) Selective outcome reporting
- (G) Other sources of bias

1.9 Frafald - generelt efter endt behandling (vigtigt outcome)



Risk of bias legend

- (A) Sequence Generation
- (B) Allocation concealment
- (C) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (D) Blinding of outcome assessors
- (E) Incomplete outcome data
- (F) Selective outcome reporting
- (G) Other sources of bias

1.2 Funktionsevne (Disability) 0-12 uger (Kritisk outcome)

Study or Subgroup	Intervention		Control		Weight	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI	Risk of Bias					
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD				A	B	C	D	E
1.2.1.1 uge												
Friedman 2015	7.8	8.5	8.9	8.4	107	-1.10 [-3.36, 1.16]	+	+	+	+	+	+
Subtotal (95% CI)	108	108	107	107	107	-1.10 [-3.36, 1.16]						

Heterogeneity: Not applicable
 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.95 (P = 0.34)

Total (95% CI)
 Heterogeneity: Not applicable
 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.95 (P = 0.34)

Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable
 Risk of bias legend

- (A) Allocation concealment
- (B) Incomplete outcome data
- (C) Selective outcome reporting
- (D) Blinding of participants and personnel
- (E) Sequence Generation
- (F) Other sources of bias
- (G) Blinding of outcome assessors

